

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/088,830	GUTIERREZ-ARMENTA ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Cynthia Collins	1638	

**Status of Application:** Election/Restriction

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

**Claims discussed:**  
16

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Guthrie Collins  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The necessity to restrict SEQ ID NO:4 from the rest of the sequences recited in claim 16 as SEQ ID NO:4 (an E2F protein) is structurally and functionally different from the other sequences (from a single wheat DP protein), which would require a separate search. It was agreed that claims directed to sequences corresponding to the wheat DP protein, ie Group I, are elected and to be prosecuted..